

## **EIE2023 – Fishbowls Abstract Booklet**

<b>FISHBOWL PRESENTATIONS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
#228 - INTUITION VERSUS THEORY: COMPARING AND UNPACKING LEVELS OF CONTRIBUTION, COMPLEXITY, AND VALUE IN IMPLEMENTATION RESEARCH AND PRACTICE.....	2
#69 - BETTER TOGETHER - ENHANCING INTERPROFESSIONAL COLLABORATION AND CO-CREATION.....	3
#103 - TIME TO REIMAGINE IMPLEMENTATION SCIENCE: THIRTY YEARS OF PARADIGMATIC LIMITS IS LONG ENOUGH.....	4

## Fishbowl presentations

### #228- Intuition versus theory: comparing and unpacking levels of contribution, complexity, and value in implementation research and practice

Natalie Taylor<sup>1</sup>, Janna Hastings<sup>2</sup>, Stephanie Best<sup>3</sup>, Rebecca Purvis<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Elias<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. <sup>2</sup>University Of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup>Peter Maccallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia. <sup>4</sup>University Of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

#### Your big implementation topic

The value of theories, models, and frameworks to drive and evaluate evidence-based implementation is well established, yet the explicit contribution of theory for generating changes needed for successful health system implementation is less clear. Although theory-driven stakeholder co-design methodology is evolving, theory-based approaches to elicit barriers to implementation of an intervention to inform a theory-driven approach to strategy design often lead to 'on-the-spot' solutions. Whilst these unplanned intuitive approaches may well be effective given healthcare professional tacit knowledge and experience, it is difficult to pin-point ingredients of intuitive impact, which limits how well we can advance the science of implementation.

#### Key issues to discuss

- What is the value of healthcare professional intuition in the development of implementation strategies?
- To what extent does this intuition align with theoretical recommendations? Does this matter?
- Regardless, to what extent are intuitive strategies effective? How can we best assess this?
- How can we efficiently combine intuition and theory to design effective implementation strategies?

#### Target audience

Implementation scientists and practitioners, healthcare professionals, healthcare administration/management, members of the community

#### Active audience involvement

We will:

- incorporate interactive polls using slido to engage participation from the audience;
- ask probing questions at the outset to stimulate discussion
- invite the audience to ask questions/share their own experiences on the topic via a roaming mic

## #69- Better together- Enhancing interprofessional collaboration and co-creation

Monika Sztankay<sup>1,2</sup>, Leah Bührmann<sup>3,4</sup>, Susanna Caravatti-Felchlin<sup>5</sup>, Monika Finsterwald<sup>6</sup>, Tina Quasdorf<sup>7</sup>, Martina Roes<sup>8,9</sup>, Marie-Therese Schultes<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria. <sup>2</sup>University Clinic of Psychiatry II, Innsbruck, Austria. <sup>3</sup>Northumbria University Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom. <sup>4</sup>European Implementation Collaborative, Zurich, Switzerland. <sup>5</sup>Universitätsspital Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland. <sup>6</sup>Institute for Implementation Science in Health Care, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. <sup>7</sup>ZHAW Zürich University of Applied Science, School of Health Science, Institute of Nursing, Zurich, Switzerland. <sup>8</sup>Deutsches Zentrum für Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen e.V. (DZNE), Site Witten, Witten, Germany. <sup>9</sup>University of Witten/Herdecke University, Faculty of Health, Department of Nursing Science, Witten, Germany

### Your big implementation topic

Successfully implementing and sustaining the use of research evidence requires meaningful interaction among researchers, service providers, policy makers, clients and other key community members. Collaborations and co-creation might be strained by a lack of mutual understanding of each other's roles, functions, goals and expectations. Moreover, collaborative efforts are influenced by conditions at the meso- and macrosystem (e.g. leadership changes or socio-political conditions). In this Fishbowl session, the German-Speaking Implementation Network (INFo-P) invites the discussion on how to enhance inter-professional collaboration and co-creation as cornerstones to promoting the relevance of implementation science across scientific, governance and local practice boundaries.

### Key issues to discuss

- How to establish a consensus on terminology and meanings?
- How to increase role clarity and shared commitment among collaborators (e.g. when defining the field of implementation science compared to adjacent fields such as quality assurance)?
- How can implementation researchers and practitioners contribute to leveraging partnerships among researchers, service providers, policy makers, clients and other key community members?
- What kind of strategies and practices can be applied for enhancing co-creative capacity building across different disciplines?
- 

### Target audience

- Implementation researchers working with multidisciplinary teams aimed at implementing interventions
- Implementation support practitioners working with members from different practice fields
- Individuals with lived experience, community members involved in the implementation of interventions

### Active audience involvement

- A list of discussion questions of interest for an inter-professional and interdisciplinary audience

- Discussion topics not specific to a research area (health, education, policy)
- Invitation to contribute examples of challenges in multi-disciplinary collaboration and co-creation from the audience

## #103- Time to Reimagine Implementation Science: Thirty Years of Paradigmatic Limits Is Long Enough

Bruce Chorpita<sup>1</sup>, Kimberly Becker<sup>2</sup>, Allison Metz<sup>3</sup>, Annette Boaz<sup>4</sup>, Janna Hastings<sup>5</sup>, Dagfinn Thøgersen<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UCLA, Los Angeles, USA. <sup>2</sup>University of South Carolina, Columbia, USA. <sup>3</sup>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA. <sup>4</sup>London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom. <sup>5</sup>University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. <sup>6</sup>Norwegian Center for Child Behavioral Development, Oslo, Norway

### Your big implementation topic

Implementation science as it pertains to mental health concerns has implicitly committed itself to the paradigm of evidence-based intervention, with the principle endeavors of implementation science having quickly narrowed on studies of how to implement specific manualized programs, often one at a time. Robust models in such diverse areas as public health, informatics, ontologies, decision support, and dynamic systems point to strategies that could be leveraged now to yield a better return on more than 50 years of investments in intervention and prevention science. It is time to rethink our approach.

### Key issues to discuss

- How has the tethering of implementation science to evidence-based programs affected the development of the implementation science as a field over the past 30 years?
- Do the frameworks and strategies currently offered by implementation science give us anything that can be effectively and sustainably used across diverse service settings?
- If we are to reimagine how we conceptualize intervention science, where should we set our sights and where should we invest our efforts?
- What structural, organizational, political, or institutional barriers will get in the way of paradigmatic innovation?

### Target audience

Anyone from the professional community who is interested in improving the public social impact of the science of mental and behavioral health, whether through research, policy, or practice; and, secondarily, anyone simply wishing to live in a world with healthier families and stronger communities through humanity's collective investments in science.

### Active audience involvement

We will encourage participation using a stuffed animal (fish) to be tossed among participants as a prompt. Our facilitator will monitor for diverse representation and will break in (take and toss) at any time as appropriate. Prompted speakers have a minimum of one word and maximum of one minute.